Amendment Tool

v1.8 30 April 2025

For office use

QC: No

Section 1: Project information

Short project title*:	PROTECT-DIVERSITY
IRAS project ID* (or REC reference if no IRAS project ID is available):	350756
Sponsor amendment reference number*:	Non-substantial amendment 2
Sponsor amendment date* (enter as DD/MM/YY):	26 November 2025
Briefly summarise in lay language the main changes proposed in this amendment. Explain the purpose of the changes and their significance for the study. If the amendment significantly alters the research design or methodology, or could otherwise affect the scientific value of the study, supporting scientific information should be given (or enclosed separately). Indicate whether or not additional scientific critique has been obtained (note: this field will adapt to the amount of text entered)*:	The following will be changed for the PROTECT-DIVERSITY Trial: 1. Change of PI for one participating organisation 2. Addition of three new sites 3. Updated wording to the PROTECT DIVERSITY questionnaire

Project type (select):	**Specific study**			
	Research tissue bank			
	Research database			
Has the study been reviewed by a UKECA-recognised Research Ethics Committee (REC) prior to this amendment?:	**Yes**		No	
What type of UKECA-recognised Research Ethics Committee (REC) review is applicable? (select):	**NHS/HSC REC**			
	Ministry of Defence (MoDREC)			
Is all or part of this amendment being resubmitted to the Research Ethics Committee (REC) as a **modified amendment** (i.e. a substantial amendment previously given an unfavourable opinion)?	Yes		**No**	
Where is the NHS/HSC Research Ethics Committee (REC) that reviewed the study based?:	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
	Yes	No	No	No
Was the study a clinical trial of an investigational medicinal product (CTIMP) OR does the amendment make it one?:	Yes		**No**	
Was the study a clinical investigation or other study of a medical device OR does the amendment make it one?:	Yes		**No**	
Was the study a performance evaluation study of an In Vitro Diagnostic (IVD) medical device^ (including as a companion diagnostic in a clinical trial of an investigational medicinal product)?:	Yes		**No**	
Does the amendment make the study a performance evaluation study of an In Vitro Diagnostic (IVD) medical device^ (including as a companion diagnostic in a clinical trial of an investigational medicinal product)?:	Yes		**No**	
^ IVD medical devices are tests used on biological samples, such as tissues, blood or urine, to determine the status of a person's health. This may be a reagent, reagent product, calibrator, control material, kit, instrument, apparatus, equipment or system, whether used alone or in combination				
Did the study involve the administration of radioactive substances, therefore requiring ARSAC review, OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		**No**	
Did the study involve the use of research exposures to ionising radiation (not involving the administration of radioactive substances) OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		**No**	
Did the study involve adults lacking capacity OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		**No**	
Does the study involve access to confidential patient information outside the direct care team without consent OR does the amendment introduce this?: (e.g. the study relies upon section 251 support in England and Wales, or equivalent in Scotland to set aside the common law duty of confidentiality)	Yes		**No**	
Does the study involve prisoners or young offenders who are in custody or supervised by the probation service OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		**No**	
Does the study involve children OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		**No**	
Did the study involve NHS/HSC organisations prior to this amendment?:	**Yes**		No	
Did the study involve non-NHS/HSC organisations OR does the amendment introduce them?:	Yes		**No**	
	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
Lead nation for the study:	**Yes**	No	No	No

Which nations had participating NHS/HSC organisations prior to this amendment?	**Yes**	No	**Yes**	No
Which nations will have participating NHS/HSC organisations after this amendment?	**Yes**	No	**Yes**	No

Section 2: Summary of change(s)

Please note: Each change being made as part of the amendment must be entered separately. For example, if an amendment to a clinical trial of an investigational medicinal product (CTIMP) involves an update to the Investigator's Brochure (IB), affecting the Reference Safety Information (RSI) and so the information documents to be given to participants, these should be entered into the Amendment Tool as three separate changes. A list of all possible changes is available on the "Glossary of Amendment Options" tab. To add another change, click the "Add another change" box.

Change 1				
Area of change (select)*:	Researchers			
Specific change (select - only available when area of change is selected first)*:	PI - New PI, or temporary arrangements to cover the absence of a PI			
Further information: explain what the change is, why the change is being made and any possible impact on study participants/carers (free text - note that this field will adapt to the amount of text entered):	1. Change of PI for one participating organisation below: NHS National Waiting Times Centre Board new PI: Chiara Viviani: chiara.viviani2@gjnh.scot.nhs.uk			
Applicability:	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
Where are the participating NHS/HSC organisations located that will be affected by this change?*:	**Yes**	No	**Yes**	No
Will all participating NHS/HSC organisations be affected by this change, or only some? (**please note** that this answer may affect the categorisation for the change):	All		**Some**	
			Remove all changes below	

Change 2				
Area of change (select)*:	Participating Organisations			
Specific change (select - only available when area of change is selected first)*:	Addition of sites undertaking the same activities as existing sites			
Further information: explain what the change is, why the change is being made and any possible impact on study participants/carers (free text - note that this field will adapt to the amount of text entered):	2. Addition of three new NHS sites: a) Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust PI: Antony Ratnasingham: a.ratnasingham@nhs.net b) University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust PI: Andy Cumpstey: a.cumpstey@soton.ac.uk c) Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust PI: Richard Ramsaran: richard.ramsaran@liverpoolft.nhs.uk			
Applicability:	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
Where are the participating NHS/HSC organisations located that will be affected by this change?*:	**Yes**	No	**Yes**	No
Will all participating NHS/HSC organisations be affected by this change, or only some? (**please note** that this answer may affect the categorisation for the change):	All		**Some**	
			Remove all changes below	

Change 3				
Area of change (select)*:	Study Documents			
Specific change (select - only available when area of change is selected first)*:	Other minor change to study documents (e.g. information sheets, consent forms, questionnaires, letters) that can be implemented within existing resource in place at participating organisations - Please specify in the free text below			
Further information: explain what the change is, why the change is being made and any possible impact on study participants/carers. In particular, please describe why this change can be implemented within the existing resource in place at the participating organisations (free text - note that this field will adapt to the amount of text entered)*	3. Updated wording to the PROTECT DIVERSITY questionnaire. In the question "What type of school did you attend for the majority of your time between the ages of 11 - 16?", "in the UK" has been removed from each of the possible answers.			
Applicability:	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
Where are the participating NHS/HSC organisations located that will be affected by this change?*:	**Yes**	No	**Yes**	No
Will all participating NHS/HSC organisations be affected by this change, or only some? (**please note** that this answer may affect the categorisation for the change):	**All**		Some	

Add another change

Section 3: Declaration(s) and lock for submission

Declaration by the Sponsor or authorised delegate

- I confirm that the Sponsor takes responsibility for the completed amendment tool
- I confirm that I have been formally authorised by the Sponsor to complete the amendment tool on their behalf

Name [first name and surname]:*	Mays Jawad
Email address:*	research.amendments@qmul.ac.uk

Lock for submission

Please note: This button will only become available when all mandatory (*) fields have been completed. When the button is available, clicking it will generate a locked PDF copy of the completed amendment tool which must be included in the amendment submission. Please ensure that the amendment tool is completed correctly before locking it for submission.

Lock for submission

After locking the tool, proceed to submit the amendment online. The "Submission Guidance" tab provides further information about the next steps for the amendment.

Section 4: Review bodies for the amendment

Please note: This section is for **information only**. Details in this section will complete automatically based on the options selected in Sections 1 and 2.

	Review bodies																	
	UK wide:						England and Wales:				Scotland:				Northern Ireland:			
	REC	Competent Authority MHRA - Medicines	Competent Authority MHRA - Devices	ARSAC	Radiation Assurance	UKSW Governance	REC (MCA)	CAG	HMPPS	HRA and HCRW Approval	REC (AWIA)	PBPP	SPS (RAEC)	National coordinating function	HSC REC	HSC Data Guardians	Prisons	National coordinating function	Category:
Change 1:						(Y)				(Y)				(Y)					B
Change 2:						(Y)				(Y)				(Y)					New site
Change 3:						(Y)				(Y)				(Y)					C
Overall reviews for the amendment:																			
Full review:						N				N				N					
Notification only:						Y				Y				Y					
Overall amendment type:	Non-substantial, no study-wide review required																		
Overall Category:	B/C																		